

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/597,738

Applicant(s)

BOSCH ET AL.

Examiner

Mina Haghighatian

Art Unit

1616

## All Participants:

(1) Mina Haghighatian.

(2) Michele Simkin.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney to discuss the status of illustrations on pages 15, 17 and 21 of the specification. This was because the office of publications requested their cancellations according to 37 CFR 1.58(a). Ms. Simkin authorized the examiner to cancel the said illustrations from the specification. An Examiner Amendment (attached) shows the cancellations. .